

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

DANIEL RIGGS, *et al.*,

Case No.: 3:24-cv-00443-ART-CLB

**Plaintiff,**

v.

SCOTT DAVIS, et al.,

## Defendants.

## ORDER

[ECF No. 3]

## I. DISCUSSION

Plaintiffs Daniel Riggs and Bryan Harmer each filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for inmates. (ECF Nos. 1, 3). However, Harmer subsequently filed an updated address indicating that he is no longer incarcerated. (ECF No. 11). The Court denies Harmer's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for inmates as moot because he is no longer incarcerated. The Court now directs Harmer to file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by a non-prisoner or pay the full filing fee of \$405 on or before April 6, 2025.<sup>1</sup>

The Court also notes that Under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a plaintiff who is not represented by counsel is required to sign any pleading, including the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). Furthermore, *pro se* litigants have no authority to represent anyone other than themselves. See *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1105 n.1 (9th Cir. 1995); *C.E. Pope Equity Trust v. United States*, 818 F.2d 696, 697 (9th Cir. 1987). As such, Harmer and Riggs will each be required to sign any pleading filed on his

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that the Prison Litigation Reform Act (“PLRA”) directs that “if a prisoner brings a civil action or files an appeal *in forma pauperis*, the prisoner shall be required to pay the full amount of a filing fee.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Because Riggs is still a prisoner, the PLRA applies to him, and he will be responsible for the full amount of the filing fee regardless of whether Harmer files an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for non-prisoners or pays the \$405 filing fee.

1 behalf. It is not clear whether it will be practical for Riggs and Harmer to proceed together  
2 in a single action now that Harmer has been released from custody. The Court defers a  
3 decision regarding joinder of the Plaintiffs. However, if either Plaintiff would prefer to  
4 proceed on his own, he may file a motion requesting that the Court sever this action into  
5 two separate cases.

6 **II. CONCLUSION**

7 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff Bryan Harmer's application to proceed  
8 *in forma pauperis* for inmates, (ECF No. 3), is **DENIED as moot**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, by no later than **April 6, 2025**, Harmer will either:  
10 (1) file a fully complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for non-prisoners; or (2)  
11 pay the full filing fee of \$405.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall **SEND** Harmer the  
13 approved form application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by a non-prisoner, as well as the  
14 document entitled information and instructions for filing an *in forma pauperis* application.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, if Harmer fails to timely comply with this order,  
16 this action will be subject to dismissal without prejudice. A dismissal without prejudice  
17 allows Harmer to refile the case with the Court, under a new case number, when Harmer  
18 can file a complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for non-prisoners or pay the  
19 required filing fee.

20 **DATED THIS** 10th day of March 2025.

21   
22 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

23  
24  
25  
26  
27